

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		ERAL PH		įĄi	material by	
_		was in the second		EXAMINER		
每月100 对范围(CV) 第二次亚形			1946年1月1日 - G			
	CINDA WAY SUJITE SAN ERANDISID CA RYDEGETORE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				17.42	\sim	
				DATE MAILED:	03/10/2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	A U Ai Ai					
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary	09/442,898	AGUET, MICHAEL				
omee Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	anuary 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	o by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
The state of the s						
Attachment/e)						
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/442,898

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

The response filed on January 5, 2001 (Paper No. 7) to the restriction requirement of December 05, 2000 has been received. Applicant has elected Group I, claims 1-6 for examination without traverse. Claims 7-22 were cancelled. Thus, claims 1-6 are pending and are currently under examination.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective because it has not been signed by the inventor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caras et al. (WO 96/13518, May 1996).

The claims are drawn to a method of inhibiting angiogenesis in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an amount of an Eph receptor antagonist which is effective for inhibiting angiogenesis in the mammal (Claim 1); wherein the mammal is a human (Claim 2); wherein the antagonist binds an Eph receptor (Claim 3); wherein the antagonist is an antibody (Claim 4); wherein the mammal is suffering from a disease or disorder characterized by undesirable or excessive vascularization or vascular permeability (Claim 6).

Caras et al teach a method of inhibiting angiogenesis in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an amount of an Eph receptor antagonist which is effective for

Application/Control Number: 09/442,898

Art Unit: 1642

inhibiting angiogenesis in the mammal wherein the mammal is suffering from a disease or disorder characterized by undesirable or excessive vascularization or vascular permeability (abstract, and pages 29-30); wherein the mammal is a human (page 28, line 1); wherein the antagonist binds an Eph receptor and the antagonist is an antibody (page 23, lines 22-32).

Claims 1,4 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pandey et al. (Science, Vol. 268, April 1995, IDS).

Pandey et al. teach a method of inhibiting angiogenesis in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an amount of an Eph receptor antagonist which is effective for inhibiting angiogenesis in the mammal wherein the antagonist binds is an antibody (abstract, and page 568, 3rd column, 1st paragraph, also Figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caras (WO 96/13518, May 1996) in view of Wang et al. (Cell, Vol. 93, pages 741-753, May 29, 1998, IDS).

The claims are drawn to a method of inhibiting angiogenesis in a mammal comprising administering to the mammal an amount of an Eph receptor antagonist which is effective for

Art Unit: 1642

inhibiting angiogenesis in the mammal (Claim 1); wherein the mammal is a human (Claim 2); wherein the antagonist binds an Eph receptor (Claim 3); wherein the antagonist is an antibody (Claim 4); wherein the antibody binds EphB4 receptor (Claim 5); wherein the mammal is suffering from a disease or disorder characterized by undesirable or excessive vascularization or vascular permeability (Claim 6).

- 1. Caras teaches as set forth above.
- 2. Caras does not include an antibody which binds the EphB4 receptor.
- 3. Wang et al. teach the disruption between the EphB4 receptor and its ligand, ephrin-B2, prevents the remodeling of veins and disrupts arterial remodeling (page 741, 2nd column, 3rd paragraph), and that ephrin-B2-meidated interactions are essential for angiogenesis (page 749).
- It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modulate the method of Caras et al. so as to include an antibody which binds the EphB4 receptor in view of the teachings of Wang et al. because Wang et al. teach that disruption between the EphB4 receptor and its ligand, ephrin-B2, prevents the remodeling of veins and disrupts arterial remodeling. One would have been motivated to do so because it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made that EpH receptors comprise two families- A class receptors and B-class receptors and that Eph-A-class receptors and their ligands are implicated in angiogenesis (Wang et al., page 749). Thus, it would have been obvious to modulate the method of Caras et al. so as to prevent angiogenesis by further including an antibody against the B-class receptors so as to prevent its ligand (ephrin-B2) from interacting with the EphB4 receptor.

Application/Control Number: 09/442,898

Art Unit: 1642

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1642

GBN March 5, 2001

> SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER